

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/044,030	(	03/19/1998	AKIRA UEDA	980400	7704	
23850	7590	02/01/2002			·- <u></u>	
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000				EXAMINER		
				ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006		20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3743		
				DATE MAILED: 02/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office	Action	Sumn	nary
--------	--------	------	------

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/044,030	Deda	etal.	
Examiner		Group Art Unit	
n-Hibson		3743	

	N-TKinson	3743	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath	the correspondence addi	ress—
Period for Response			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by</li> </ul>	esponse within the statutory mining a sport of the statutory mining a sport of the statutory mining as a sport of the sta	num of thirty (30) days will be con e mailing date of this communicat	sidered timely.
Status , ,			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/16/0	7		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C		n as to the merits is closed	<b>1</b> in
Disposition of Claims			
© Claim(s) 13-19		is/are pending in the applica	ation.
Of the above claim(s) 17-/9		is/are withdrawn from consi	deration
			acration.
□ Claim(s) / 3 - 1 6		is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			
		•	
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are subject to restriction or requirement.	election
Application Papers			
$\hfill \Box$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	, ,	oproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Internal</li> </ul>	priority documents have bee		
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	)   Interview	v Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		f Informal Patent Application	ı, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			

Office Action Summary

Serial Number: 09/044,030 Page 2

E

Art Unit: 3743

## Response to Election

Applicant's election without traverse of species I as illustrated in Figure 13 in Paper No. 29 is acknowledged.

Claims 17-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 29.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over

Serial Number: 09/044,030 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Yamakage in view of Ishida et al.

The document of Yamakage in Figures 1-3 discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the heat pipes being U-shaped. Official Notice is taken that a fan is known to produce the air flow W.

The document of Ishida et al. in at least Figure 5 discloses that it is known to have U-shaped heat pipes for the purpose of uniformly removing and radiating heat from a heat generating device. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Yamakage U-shaped heat pipes for the purpose of uniformly removing and radiating heat from a heat generating device as disclosed in Ishida et al.

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yamakage in view of Ishida et al. as applied to claims 13 and 15 above, and further in view of Inoue et al. The patent of Yamakage as modified, discloses all the claimed features of the invention with the exception of the fins attached to the heat pipes.

The document of Inoue et al. in Figure 2 discloses that it is known to have fins attached across a plurality of heat pipes for the purpose of increasing the heat transfer surface area of the heat removal section of the heat pipes. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Yamakage as modified, fins attached across a plurality of heat pipes for the purpose of increasing the heat transfer surface area of the heat removal section of the heat pipes as disclosed in Inoue et al.

Serial Number: 09/044,030

Art Unit: 3743

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

January 31, 2002

HRISTOPHER ATKINSU PRIMARY EXAMINER